

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,144	03/01/2002	Matthias Wabl	UCSF-265 4410 EXAMINER	
24353	7590 02/26/2004			
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 MIDDLEFIELD RD SUITE 200			HUYNH, PHUONG N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			1644	
		DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1(	
$N \perp 1$	
モン	<b>r</b>

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/087,144	WABL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phuong Huynh	1644				
Period for A SH THE   - Extendition after   - If the   - If NO   - Failure   Any   earn   Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>One</u> MONT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed arch 2002.	TH(S) FROM  nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)∐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<i>ا</i> ال	closed in accordance with the practice under E	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptabl	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmer		Λ □ Laka = √a	(DTO 412)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/087,144

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

I. The location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1644, Group 1640, Technology Center 1600.

II. Claims 1-22 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- III. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - 1. Claims 1-3, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that reduces a level of a SWAP-70 polypeptide in mast cell, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.92.
  - 2. Claims 5-6, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that reduces the interaction of a SWAP-70 polypeptide with a SWAP-70 interacting protein by contacting eukaryotic cell with a test agent, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.92.
  - Claim 7, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that reduces the an enzymatic activity of a SWAP-70 polypeptide by contacting eukaryotic cell with a test agent, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
  - 4. Claim 8, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that reduces mast cell degranulation of a SWAP-70 polypeptide by contacting eukaryotic cell with a test agent, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.72.
  - 5. Claims 10-11, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that reduces an interaction of a SWAP-70 protein with a SWAP-70 interacting protein other than SWAP-70 by contacting a SWAP-70 polypeptide with a test agent, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.93.

Art Unit: 1644

6. Claim 12, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that reduces an enzymatic activity of a SWAP-70 protein by contacting a SWAP-70 polypeptide with a test agent, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.93.

- 7. Claims 13-14, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that inhibits phosphorylation of a SWAP-70 protein, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- 8. Claims 15-16, drawn to biological active agent and a pharmaceutical composition comprising said agent wherein the agent reduces a level of a SWAP-70 polypeptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.
- Olaims 15-16, drawn to biological active agent and a pharmaceutical composition comprising said agent wherein the agent reduces a interaction of a SWAP-70 polypeptide with a SWAP-70 interacting protein, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.
- Claims 15-16, drawn to biological active agent and a pharmaceutical composition comprising said agent wherein the agent reduces an enzymatic activity of a SWAP-70 polypeptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.
- Claims 15-16, drawn to biological active agent and a pharmaceutical composition comprising said agent wherein the agent reduces Mast cell degranulation of a SWAP-70 polypeptide, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.
- Claims 15-16, drawn to biological active agent and a pharmaceutical composition comprising said agent wherein the agent reduces **phosphorylation** of a SWAP-70 polypeptide in mast cell, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.
- 13. Claims 17-19, drawn to an isolated mast cell, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.7.
- Claims 20-22, drawn to a method of inhibiting Mast cell degranulation or a method of treating a specific disorder associated with Mast cell degranulation, classified in Class 424, subclass 184.1.

Art Unit: 1644

Liking claim 4 will be examined along with Groups 2-4 if any one of Groups 2-4 is elected.

Liking claim 9 will be examined along with Groups 5-6 if any one of Groups 5-6 is elected.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups 1-7 and 14 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the methods as claimed differs with respect to their method steps and endpoints. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

Inventions of Groups 8-13 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the products as claimed differs with respect to their structures and physiochemical properties. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

Inventions of Groups 8-13 and Groups (1-7 and 14) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the products as claimed can be used in treating different disease as claimed or materially different process such as binding assays, and identifying compound. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

IV. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods comprising the distinct method steps. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1644

V. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

VI. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04.

Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

VII. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Huynh "NEON" whose telephone number is (571) 272-0846. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

Art Unit: 1644

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The IFW official Fax number is (703) 872-9306.

VIII. Any information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong N. Huynh, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

February 23, 2004

CHRISTINA CHAN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600